

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,899	03/30/2004	Shinichi Takahashi	50943-025	1321
7590 04/29/2008 MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			EXAMINER	
600 13th Street, N.W.			LEWIS, BEN	
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/811.899 TAKAHASHI, SHINICHI Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Ben Lewis 1795 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 February 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/811,899

Art Unit: 1795

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 4th, 2008 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-7,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reiser et al. (US 2004/0001982 A1) in view of Kindler et al. (US 6,440,594 B1).

With respect to claims 1,3,4,7, Reiser et al. teach a fuel cell system comprising a cathode (74), an anode (72) and a polymer electrolyte membrane (70), a fuel gas passage (94), an air passage (92), a separator (84), and a pure water channel (96), which allows the pure water to pass into the separator. Furthermore, Reiser et al. teach

Art Unit: 1795

the needs to make the separators (water transport plates, 84,86,88,89) become hydrophilic. See Paragraphs 23,27, Figure 1. However, Reiser et al. do not teach the pure water channel including polymers respectively having polymer chains.

Kindler et al. teach a fuel cell where each membrane electrode assembly is sandwiched between a pair of flow-modifying plates which comprise biplates and endplates respectively (column 14, lines 5.9-61). Kindler et al. disclose that each biplate is a two-sided separator that prevents contact between the anode and the cathode of the fuel cell. Kindler et al. further disclose that the biplates of the fuel cells are provided with a hydrophilic surface (column 16, lines 35-37); an example of a hydrophilic material that can be applied to the surface of the biplate is N-isopropyl acrylamide (column 16, lines 43-53). By attaching a polymer as the hydrophilic material to the surface of the water channels on the biplates, the fuel cell inherently has a structure wherein polymer chains that form an entanglement among themselves since N-isopropyl acrylamide is the same hydrophobic polymer used by the applicant in the instant invention. Kindler et al, teach the hydrophilic treatment have the desirable property of discouraging droplet formation, and allowing the formation of a sheet of water which is more easily drained by gravity. See Column 16, Lines 32-42. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include polymers having a polymer chains on the surface of water channels of Reiser et al., because Kindler et al. teach the use of hydrophilic treatment to facilitate the flow of the water.

Art Unit: 1795

With regards to claims 2 and 10, it is inherent that when the fuel cell is operating, water will flow through the water channels (reactant flow channels) which would breakup the polymer entanglement coated therein and that when the fuel ceil is not operating, some water will remain in the water channels and the water will be held in the N-isopropyl acrylamide in the biplate. When the fuel cell operation is stopped, the reactant gas flow in the flow channels, is also stopped such that the water flowing through the reactant flow channel would also stop. Alternatively, with respect to Claim 2, the method of operating the apparatus is not given patentable weight in an apparatus claim; the manner of operating the device does not differentiate apparatus claim from the prior art (see MPEP 2114).

With respect to claims 5,6, Kindler et al. teach the use of N-isopropyl acrylamide, which is inherently a thermo-responsive polymer that undergoes volume phase transition in accordance with the temperature of water and that the polymer contracts at temperatures of 40°C or higher and expands at temperature of 20°C or lower.

 Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reiser et al. (US 2004/0001982 A1) in view of ) in view of Kindler et al. (US 6,440,594 B1) and further in view of Kanno et al. (US 2003/0017375 A1).

Reiser et al. teach a fuel cell system comprising a cathode (74), an anode (72) and a polymer electrolyte membrane (70), a fuel gas passage (94), an air passage (92), a separator (84), and a pure water channel (96), which allows the pure water to pass

Art Unit: 1795

into the separator. Furthermore, Reiser et al. teach the needs to make the separators (water transport plates, 84,86,88,89) become hydrophilic. See Paragraphs 23,27, Figure 1. However, Reiser et al. do not teach the pure water channel including polymers respectively having polymer chains.

Kindler et al. teach a fuel cell where each membrane electrode assembly is sandwiched between a pair of flow-modifying plates which comprise biplates and endplates respectively (column 14, lines 5.9-61). Kindler et al. disclose that each biplate is a two-sided separator that prevents contact between the anode and the cathode of the fuel cell. Kindler et al. further disclose that the biplates of the fuel cells are provided with a hydrophilic surface (column 16, lines 35-37); an example of a hydrophilic material that can be applied to the surface of the biplate is N-isopropyl acrylamide (column 16, lines 43-53). By attaching a polymer as the hydrophilic material to the surface of the water channels on the biplates, the fuel cell inherently has a structure wherein polymer chains that form an entanglement among themselves since N-isopropyl acrylamide is the same hydrophobic polymer used by the applicant in the instant invention. Kindler et al. teach the hydrophilic treatment have the desirable property of discouraging droplet formation, and allowing the formation of a sheet of water which is more easily drained by gravity. See Column 16, Lines 32-42. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include polymers having a polymer chains on the surface of water channels of Reiser et al., because Kindler et al. teach the use of hydrophilic treatment to facilitate the flow of the water.

Reiser et al. as modified by Kindler et al. a teach a fuel cell system comprising a

Art Unit: 1795

cathode (74), an anode (72) and a polymer electrolyte membrane (70), a fuel gas passage (94), an air passage (92), a separator (84), and a pure water channel (96), which allows the pure water to pass into the separator.

Furthermore, Reiser et al. as modified by Kindler et al. teach the needs to make the separators (water transport plates, 84,86,88,89) become hydrophilic. See Paragraphs 23,27, Figure 1. However, Reiser et al. as modified by Kindler et al. do not teach the means for discharging the pure water in the pure water channel when the fuel cell is shut down.

Kanno discloses a fuel cell system that prevents water from freezing in a fuel cell when the fuel cell is activated in cold climates (paragraph 8). The fuel cell includes a pump for adjusting the flow rate of the cooling medium in the cooling medium channel (paragraph 10). Kanno discloses that the cooling water pump is a device for generating the moving force for circulating the cooling water in the cooling water channel and a driving amount can be adjusted according to a drive voltage (paragraph 37). Kanno further discloses that the fuel cell system may have a configuration in which a valve for discharging water is provided at either the inlet or the outlet of the fuel Cell on the cooling water channel. And a portion of the cooling water is discharged to the outside Of the fuel to reduce the amount of the cooling water accumulating in the fuel cell when the cooling water pump is at rest (paragraph 79). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a valve for discharging water out of the fuel cell of Reiser et al. as modified by Kindler et al.,

Application/Control Number: 10/811,899 Page 7

Art Unit: 1795

because Kanno et al. teach to prevent water from freezing in the fuel cell when the fuel cell is employed in cold climates.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on December 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2007 have been fully considered

but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principal arguments are

(a) Reiser et al. in view Kindler et al. do not suggest the claimed fuel cell and method of

operating a fuel cell because Reiser et al. and Kindler et al., whether taken alone or in

combination, do not suggest a pure water channel configured to allow flow of pure water and permit the pure water to pass into the separator, the pure water channel including

polymers respectively having polymer chains, one end of the polymer chains being

connected to an inner surface of the pure water channel and capable of forming an

entanglement among themselves, as required by claims 1 and 8; and permitting water

to flow through the channel and pass into the separator when operating the cell and

holding the water in the polymeric material when the cell is not operating, as required by

claim 10.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/811,899

Art Unit: 1795

- (b) The term "channel" as used in the present claims is a hollow structure. The specification, claims and drawings all define the pure water channel as a hollow structure. As shown in the drawings, the pure water channel has an inner surface. Conversely, a structure without a hollow, such as a plate-like structure, would not have an inner surface. In addition, the pure water channel and the separator are distinctive components of the fuel cell, as they are independently recited limitations in the claims. The cited references fail to teach or suggest a hollow structure pure water channel configured to allow flow of pure water and permit the pure water to pass into the separator, the pure water channel including polymers respectively having polymer chains, one end of the polymer chains being connected to a surface of the pure water channel and capable of forming an entanglement among themselves. The biplates of the fuel cells disclosed by Kindler et al. are plate-like structures, not hollow structures. Further, Kindler et al. teach that the biplate is a two-sided separator. Thus, the biplate is not a distinct body from the separator, as required by the present claims. Furthermore. Reiser et al. and Kindler et al. do not suggest a connection of polymer chains capable of forming an entanglement among themselves to an inner surface thereof.
- (c) There is no suggestion in Reiser et al., Kindler et al., or Kanno et al. to modify the fuel cell and method of Reiser et al. so that they include a pure water channel configured to allow flow of pure water and permit the pure water to pass into the separator, the pure water channel including polymers respectively having polymer chains, one end of the polymer chains being connected to an inner surface of the pure water channel and capable of forming an entanglement among themselves, as required

Art Unit: 1795

by claims 1 and 8; and permit water to flow through the channel and pass into the separator when operating the cell and holding the water in the polymeric material when the cell is not operating, as required by claim 10, nor does common sense dictate such modifications. The Examiner has not provided any evidence that there would be any obvious benefit in making such modifications to Yamashita et al. See KSR Int I Co. v. Teleflex, Inc., 500 U.S. (No. 04-1350, April 30, 2007) at 20.

In response to Applicant's arguments, please consider the following comments.

(a) Reiser et al. teach a fuel cell system comprising a cathode (74), an anode (72) and a polymer electrolyte membrane (70), a fuel gas passage (94), an air passage (92), a separator (84), and a pure water channel (96), which allows the pure water to pass into the separator. Furthermore, Reiser et al. teach the needs to make the separators (water transport plates, 84,86,88,89) become hydrophilic. See Paragraphs 23,27, Figure 1. However, Reiser et al. do not teach the pure water channel including polymers respectively having polymer chains.

Kindler et al. teach a fuel cell where each membrane electrode assembly is sandwiched between a pair of flow-modifying plates which comprise biplates and endplates respectively (column 14, lines 5.9-61). Kindler et al. disclose that each biplate is a two-sided separator that prevents contact between the anode and the cathode of the fuel cell. Kindler et al. further disclose that the biplates of the fuel cells are provided with a hydrophilic surface (column 16, lines 35-37); an example of a hydrophilic material

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/811,899

Art Unit: 1795

that can be applied to the surface of the biplate is N-isopropyl acrylamide (column 16, lines 43-53). By attaching a polymer as the hydrophilic material to the surface of the water channels on the biplates, the fuel cell inherently has a structure wherein polymer chains that form an entanglement among themselves since N-isopropyl acrylamide is the same hydrophobic polymer used by the applicant in the instant invention. Kindler et al. teach the hydrophilic treatment have the desirable property of discouraging droplet formation, and allowing the formation of a sheet of water which is more easily drained by gravity. See Column 16, Lines 32-42. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include polymers having a polymer chains on the surface of water channels of Reiser et al., because Kindler et al. teach the use of hydrophilic treatment to facilitate the flow of the water.

- (b) Reiser et al. teach a fuel cell system comprising a cathode (74), an anode (72) and a polymer electrolyte membrane (70), a fuel gas passage (94), an air passage (92), a separator (84), and a pure water channel (96), which allows the pure water to pass into the separator.
- (c) In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 1795

the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 .2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include polymers having a polymer chains on the surface of water channels of Reiser et al., because Kindler et al. teach the use of hydrophilic treatment to facilitate the flow of the water.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ben Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-6481. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,899 Page 12

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ben Lewis/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/PATRICK RYAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795